

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/574,862	PUGIA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHARON WEN	1644	

All Participants:

(1) SHARON WEN.

(2) Harold Wells.

Date of Interview: 6 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

pending

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner and Applicant agreed to amend the claims via Examiner's Amendment to clarify the invention to put the claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sharon Wen, Ph.D./
Examiner, Art Unit 1644

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)